

REMARKS

Responsive to the examiner's restriction requirement, applicant elects, without traverse, to prosecute the invention of Group I, that is, claims 1-12.

With respect to the requirement to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable, applicant elects to prosecute the species of the invention shown and illustrated in Figures 1-3 of the drawings.

Claims readable on the identified species are as follows:

Claims 1, 2, 3, 8, 9 and 10 are GENERIC.


Claims 4, 7, and 11 read on the species of Figures 1-3.

Claims 5, 6 and 12 read on the species of Figures 4-6

The foregoing elections and claim identification are believed to be a complete response to the Office Action. An early action on the merits and allowance of the elected claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Kevin Maher

By


Richard W. Hanes
Reg. No. 19,530

Date 02/21/2006
(719) 260-7900